State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources-WT/3 101 S. Webster St. Madison, WI 53707

Longitude (degrees, minutes,

seconds W of Prime Meridian,

Name

Site address (Not mailing

Mailing address

use the SWDV)

Property Owner(s)

address)

89 Degrees 38', 7" W

N/A

N/A

N/A

dnr.wi.gov

Final Report

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)

Page 1

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.]. Instructions: Your grant agreement requires you to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR. The DNR prefers that Final Reports be submitted in electronic format. If, however, printed copies of Final Reports are submitted, please submit three (3) complete originals to your regional Nonpoint Coordinator. 1. Grant Type -- Please check one. Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Urban Targeted Runoff Management Grant - Agricultural Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant – Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant --Planning Construction 2. Grantee & Project Information Grant Number Project Name USP - SP14-23161-06 Stormwater Utility Creation Primary Watershed Name and Watershed Code Governmental Unit Name Sugar Pecatonica Watershed Village of New Glarus Nearest Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable) Nearest Water Body Name s. 303 (d) Listed Waterbody? Yes No. DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name Little Sugar River What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project (e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment, thermal control, etc.)? The project provided a dedicated funding source to address sediment and other nonpoint source pollutants For each project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary) D C Location: Minor Civil Division Name Village of New (City, Township, Village, etc.) Glarus T4N **PLSS** Town R7E Range Section 14, 15, 23, 24 Quarter Quarter-Quarter Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds North of Equator; use 42 Degrees the DNR's Surface Water Data 49' 2" N Viewer, SWDV)

Final Report Targeted Runoff Management and Urban No Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 2
	·		
·			
		version entities was the week.	
3. Summary of Results	D. Marana and Driedline		
 Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete 	er Resources Management Prionties a Tables A and B (following) consisten	t with the entries	on your grant application.
For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete	e rables A and b (following) consisten	t was all cities	on your grant approaction
TABLE A. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND PROHIBITIO	NS (per ch. NR 151, Wls. Adm. Code,	effective Octobe	r 1, 2002)
Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		•
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected Number of farms		
NAME OF ASSESSED ASSESSEDA	Pounds TSS reduced		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	% TSS reduction		
TABLE B. OTHER WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT P		12 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	Measurement Method Used
L. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Wethod Oseu
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
- Laboratoria de la companya de la c	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			Measurement Method Used
II. Developed Urban Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Wednou used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced % TSS reduction		
	% Pre-development stay-on		
Infiltration	volume		_
	Cubic feet stay-on volume	-	
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Quantify how implementation of the planning project	Municipalities planned for	1	Count
decreased storm water impacts on state waters (i.e., store water plan, 1 & E plan, etc.)	Acres planned for	922	Measured
Document/track progress made in implementing the	Municipalities planned for	1	Count
planning product (<i>i.e.</i> , ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, <i>etc.</i>)	Acres planned for	922	Measured
intomiation a education, etc.)		J	L

Final Report Targeted	Runoff Manager	nent and Urban Nonpoint	Source & Storm Water Mar	nagement Gr	ant Programs		Pag
Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)							
Other (specify)							
B. Project Results Narrativ	/A			·/······			
Strand Associates. This Glarus. Supporting data measurement of all nonr Equivalent Runoff Units guidance from a Stormw Village Board passed a r Utility Ordinance in the s	project resulted included a Fea residential imperand probable rayater Utility Task resolution to adoption of 2009 a trand Erosion Cof New Glarus.	in creation of supporti sibility Study, including rvious areas and typica ites per ERU's. The Fea it Force, a draft stormwa opt the Stormwater Utili and begin billing in Janu ontrol Ordinances prov These programs will pro	Professional Services Cong data for creation of a Standards of stormwater of residential impervious arsibility Study was approve the rutility ordinance and control to the standard of the the the ary 2010. Adoption of the ide a basis for future stormwide increased protection	tormwater U osts and fun eas. Based ed by the Vill redit policy o Village Boo stormwater mwater man	ding alternat on this data, lage Board in were develop ard will forma utility, along	in the vives, as estimate Augustoned. In Deally adoption of the control of the co	well as well as tes were made to 2007. Along to comber 2008 of the Stormwatent adoption of the stormwatent adoptio
4. Satisfaction of Notice R	equirements (if a	policable)			#7160 c (37.55)	0.000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
If cost sharing for this proj	ect was offered u	inder a formal notice to a	chieve compliance with perf	ormance star	ndards or proh	ibitions,	provide inform
for each notice in the table	e below.	Notice Information			Notic	e Satisf	action Informa
		HOUSE INVINITUOI		(4) (4) (4)	Satis	sfied?	
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name) To:(Name)	Yes	No	Date Letter
_							
					П		
							+
			1		_		
advanting the public and	etting political a	is of the need for a stor	h is typical of stormwater mwater utility. Also, provi	idina the pul	olic with an u	naersta	naing mai me
Challenges included ge	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th	Is of the need for a stor e method of funding sto	h is typical of stormwater mwater utility. Also, provi ormwater management Imp	idina the pul	olic with an u	naersta	naing mai me
Challenges included ge educating the public an proposed utility is prima challenging.	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th	Is of the need for a stor e method of funding sto	mwater utility. Also, provi	idina the pul	olic with an u	naersta	naing mai me
Challenges included ge educating the public an proposed utility is prima challenging.	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th	Is of the need for a stor e method of funding sto	mwater utility. Also, provi	idina the pul	olic with an u	naersta	naing mai me
Challenges included ge educating the public an proposed utility is prima challenging.	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th	Is of the need for a stor e method of funding sto	mwater utility. Also, provi	idina the pul	olic with an u	naersta	naing mai me
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information a	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project	Is of the need for a stor e method of funding sto	mwater utility. Also, provi	idina the pul	olic with an u	naersta	naing mai me
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information at 7. Final Product(s) All I	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project	Is of the need for a stor e method of funding sto	mwater utility. Also, provi	idina the pul	olic with an u	naersta	naing mai me
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information at a challenging. 7. Final Product(s) All I construction A.1. Checking her	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects	Is of the need for a stor e method of funding sto (optional)	mwater utility. Also, provi	orovements	olic with an u	indersta	mang that the
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is primarchallenging. 6. Additional information at a challenging. 7. Final Product(s) - All I construction A. Construction Coordinator.	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects Projects re indicates that a	Is of the need for a store method of funding store (optional)	mwater utility. Also, provi	sent to your	olic with an u	indersta	mang that the
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information at a challenging. 7. Final Product(s) All information at a challenging. A. Construction A.1. Checking her Coordinator.	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects re indicates that a re indicates that a	Is of the need for a store method of funding store (optional)	mwater utility. Also, provi	sent to your	olic with an u	indersta	mang that the
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information at the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information at the proposed at the public and public and proposed at the public and public and proposed at the public and proposed at the public and public and proposed at the public and proposed at the public and publi	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects re indicates that a re indicates that a ets e indicates that a	ls of the need for a store method of funding store (optional) (optional) a printed copy of project prohoto-documentation of the	mwater utility. Also, provi	sent to your	DNR Regiona	al Nonpo	int Source
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information at a challenging. 7. Final Product(s) — All I checking her Coordinator. A.2. Checking her Coordinator. B. Planning Project Nonpoint Source Co	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects Projects re indicates that a cordinator.	ls of the need for a store method of funding store method of funding store (optional). a printed copy of project prohoto-documentation of the printed copy of the plans	plans and specifications was ne project's construction is a ning product (e.g., plans, ordered).	sent to your	DNR Regiona	al Nonpo	int Source
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is primarchallenging. 6. Additional information at the public and proposed utility is primarchallenging. 6. Additional information at the public and proposed at the public and public and proposed at the public and public and proposed at the public and publi	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects Projects re indicates that a coordinator. e indicates that the	Is of the need for a store method of funding store method of funding store (optional) a printed copy of project prohoto-documentation of the printed copy of the plant me Regional Nonpoint Sore	plans and specifications was ne project's construction is a ning product (e.g., plans, ordurce Coordinator has approxurce coordinator has approxurate coordinator coordinator has approxurate coordinator has approxurate coordinator co	sent to your ttached.	DNR Regiona	al Nonpo	int Source
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is primarchallenging. 6. Additional information at the public and proposed utility is primarchallenging. 6. Additional information at the public and proposed at the public and public and proposed at the public and public and proposed at the public and publi	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects Projects re indicates that a re indicates that a coordinator. e indicates that the	Is of the need for a store method of funding store method of funding store (optional) a printed copy of project prohoto-documentation of the printed copy of the plant me Regional Nonpoint Sore	plans and specifications was ne project's construction is a ning product (e.g., plans, ordered).	sent to your littached.	DNR Regionallyses) was se	al Nonpo	int Source ur DNR Regiona
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information at the challenging. 7. Final Product(s) — All. Information at the challenging. A. Construction A.1. Checking her Coordinator. A.2. Checking her Nonpoint Source Coordination at the challenging in the	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects Projects re indicates that a re indicates that a coordinator. e indicates that the	Is of the need for a store method of funding store method of funding store (optional) a printed copy of project prohoto-documentation of the printed copy of the plant me Regional Nonpoint Sore	mwater utility. Also, provior management important management management important management manag	sent to your littached.	DNR Regionallyses) was se	al Nonpo	int Source ur DNR Regiona
Challenges included ge educating the public and proposed utility is prima challenging. 6. Additional information at the challenging. 7. Final Product(s) — All. A. Construction A. Construction A.1. Checking her Coordinator. B. Planning Project B.1. Checking her Nonpoint Source Coordinator. B.2. Checking her Nonpoint Source Coordinator. B.2. Checking her Nonpoint Source Coordinator.	etting political a d elected officia arily a shift in th about the Project Projects Projects re indicates that a re indicates that a coordinator. e indicates that the	Is of the need for a store method of funding store method of funding store (optional) a printed copy of project prohoto-documentation of the printed copy of the plant me Regional Nonpoint Sore	mwater utility. Also, provior management important management management important management manag	sent to your littached.	DNR Regionallyses) was se	al Nonpo	int Source ur DNR Regiona

inal Report Targeted Runoff Management and Urban Nonpolnt Source & Storm Water Manager 3400-189 (R 6/08)	gement Grant Program	rs Page 4
drahies@erplications: 12		
Checking here certifles that, to the best of your knowledge, the Information contained in this	report is correct and t	rue.
rpe or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.		•
icholas Owen, Village Administrator		
gnature of Anthorized Representative	Date 2	118109
		* **
FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY		
REGIONAL NONPOINT COORDINATOR Please complete the following:		
Checking here Indicates that you received either planning or construction plans and specific Attach a copy of the approval.	cations from the projec	t sponsor, as appropriate.
Checking here indicates that you approved the final construction. Attach a copy of the final	construction approval	
Checking here indicates that you have approved the final Planning Product(s).		
Check here if two (2) signed, original copies of the Final Report and attachments have bee Coordinator. Note: Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator may retain one (1) copy of the	n sent to Runoff Mana signed, original Final f	gement Section Grants Report.
ype or print Name of Regional Nonpoint Coordinator		
Vames F. Amshein		,
ignature of Regional Nonpoint Coordinator	Date	
James 7. Ampleir	5	16/69

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Grant & Targeted Runoff Management Grant Programs

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT USP - SP14-23161-06 9/30/2008 through 12/31/08 Village of New Glarus **Grantee Name** Grant No. Period Covered Name of Project (As shown on Grant): Stormwater Utility Creation Project Activities/Progress to Date No Have you submitted your quarterly reimbursement request? Generally, do you consider this project to be on schedule? No Permit Requirements (if applicable) Is a Chapter 30 permit or Water Quality Certification required? Yes No If yes, have you obtained the permit? Yes No Is a NR 216 construction site erosion permit needed? Yes No If yes, have you obtained the permit? Yes No Selection and Hiring of Consultant or Contractor Are you hiring a consultant/contractor to perform work? Yes No If ves, has DNR approved bid documents? No Yes If yes, has the DNR evaluated bid tabulations? No If yes, have you awarded the contract? No Has pre-construction meeting been held? Yes No Substantive Progress for this Project 10% 50% 90% <u>X</u>_100% Review and Approval of Completed Work Has DNR performed a final site inspection? No Yes Has DNR reviewed final plan document No Has the Community or consultant given final approval? No Final Billing

Additional Progress Information for This Project

(Please use the space on the back of this form)

Mr. Nicholas Owen, Administrator nowen@admin.vil.new-glarus.wi.us (608) 527-2062

Grantee Contact e-mail Phone #

Have you requested reimbursement for retainage?

(Only through the completion of the Feasibility Study)

Have you submitted final reimbursement billing to DNR? Yes

Please send a copy to the DNR project manager listed on your grant and one to Tim Parsons at the DNR email address: Timothy.Parsons@dnr.state.wi.us or [fax # = (608) 267-0496]

Yes

No

No

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Grant & Targeted Runoff Management Grant Programs

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT NARRATIVE

Problems/Delays/Modifications/Budget Changes for Project

We have requested a one-year extension on the grant (to the end of 2008). This allowed for sufficient time to complete the stormwater utility implementation activities, including task force meetings.

Narrative Update

On April 28, 2006, we received approval from Jim Amrhein for the scope of services for the project. Strand Associates, Inc. received notice to proceed with the project shortly thereafter. Project tasks performed to date are as follows:

- Measurement of impervious areas in the Village using GIS
- Preliminary development of stormwater utility rate structure
- Development of current and anticipated future stormwater program costs
- Development of final Stormwater Utility Feasibility Report and submittal to Village Staff for review
- Final Stormwater Utility Feasibility Report formally presented to Village Public Works Board and at Village Board Meeting.
- Village Board unanimously approved to continue with Stormwater Utility implementation activities, including formation of a Task Force.
- Formation of Task Force took place in early 2008.
- Six task force meetings were held beginning on April 8, 2008 and the final task force meeting taking place on September 17, 2008.
- The findings of the task force were summarized and presented to the Village Board on November 5, 2008. Of the six members on the task force, four recommended adoption of the stormwater utility. A summary of the findings of the stormwater utility task force are attached.
- All stormwater utility implementation activities have been completed including: creation of the billing database, creation of an impervious area map book, development of a final rate structure, drafting a credit and adjustment policy, creating a draft stormwater utility ordinance, and development of a 5-year stormwater utility operating budget.
- The Village Board passed a resolution at its December 3, 2008 Board meeting to create the stormwater utility. Formal adoption of the Stormwater Utility Ordinance will likely occur in early 2009.

Project: Stormwater Utility

racor otility				
Cost	State Share (60%)	State Share Requested (30%)	State Share Retained Until Final Reimbursement (30%)	Local Share (40%)
\$1,723.74	\$1,034.24	\$517.12	\$517.12**	\$689.50
\$4,624.97	\$2,774.98	\$1,387.49	\$1,387.49**	\$1,849.98
\$4,449.08	\$2,669.45	\$1,334.72	\$1,334.73**	\$1,779.63
\$5,205.32	\$3,123.19	\$1,561.60	\$1,561.59**	\$2,082.13
\$11,906.74	\$7,144.04	\$3,572.02	\$3,572.02*	\$4,762.70
\$3,403.84	\$2,042.3	\$1,021.1	\$1,021.15*	\$1,361.54
\$31,313.69	\$18,788.21	\$8,372.9	\$8,372.95	\$11,163.94
\$186.31	\$111.79	\$55.89	\$55.89	\$74.52
\$31,500.00	\$18,900.00	\$9,450.00	\$9,450.00	\$12,600.00
	\$1,723.74 \$4,624.97 \$4,449.08 \$5,205.32 \$11,906.74 \$3,403.84 \$31,313.69 \$186.31	\$1,723.74 \$1,034.24 \$4,624.97 \$2,774.98 \$4,449.08 \$2,669.45 \$5,205.32 \$3,123.19 \$11,906.74 \$7,144.04 \$3,403.84 \$2,042.34 \$31,313.69 \$18,788.21 \$186.31 \$111.79	Cost State Share (60%) Requested (30%) \$1,723.74 \$1,034.24 \$517.12 \$4,624.97 \$2,774.98 \$1,387.49 \$4,449.08 \$2,669.45 \$1,334.72 \$5,205.32 \$3,123.19 \$1,561.60 \$11,906.74 \$7,144.04 \$3,572.02 \$3,403.84 \$2,042.30 \$1,021.16 \$31,313.69 \$18,788.21 \$8,372.96 \$186.31 \$111.79 \$55.89	Cost State Share (60%) State Share Requested (30%) Retained Until Final Reimbursement (30%) \$1,723.74 \$1,034.24 \$517.12 \$517.12** \$4,624.97 \$2,774.98 \$1,387.49 \$1,387.49** \$4,449.08 \$2,669.45 \$1,334.72 \$1,334.73** \$5,205.32 \$3,123.19 \$1,561.60 \$1,561.59** \$11,906.74 \$7,144.04 \$3,572.02 \$3,572.02* \$3,403.84 \$2,042.30 \$1,021.15 \$1,021.15* \$31,313.69 \$18,788.21 \$8,372.95 \$8,372.95 \$186.31 \$111.79 \$55.89 \$55.89

^{**} Paid 30% Retainage for Feasibility Study Included as part of Request #4

* Paid 30% Retainage for Implementation Included as part of Request #6

Final Reimbursement Amount, including retainage = \$5,614.32